



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,003	1:	2/04/2003 .	Hsien-Cheng Chou .	N1085-00139 91 TSMC2002-123		9128	
54657	7590	11/17/2006			EXAMINER		
DUANE MORRIS LLP					LEE, WILSON		
IP DEPARTMENT (TSMC) 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					2163		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/729,003	CHOU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Wilson Lee	2163					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing bed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1.3-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1.3-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ate					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application					

### Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Arnold et al. (US 2004/0177271).

Regarding Claim 1, Arnold discloses a method for filtering requests (See paragraph 0086) comprising the steps of:

- providing a plurality of independent computers (See paragraph 0150) coupled to at least one network, each of said computers maintaining a similar database thereon;
- capturing each of the requests including a first request (See paragraph 0004);
- applying the first request in one of the computers (See Figures 6, 7, 11);
- determining the consequences of the first request (See paragraphs 0021, 0068, 0096, 0105);
- determining if the first request matches data filtering criteria (based on content) (See paragraphs 0022, 0138, 0144);
- if the first request matches the data filtering criteria, expunging the first request thereby preventing the first request from propagating throughout

other computers of the plurality of computers, and if the first request does not match the data filtering criteria, allowing the first request to propagate throughout the other computers (See abstract, claim 1, 0139, 0141, 0144),

- wherein the first request is a data delete request (See paragraph 0004).

Regarding Claims 3, 9, Arnold discloses that the data filtering criteria is associated with a known time period (See paragraph 0024).

Regarding Claims 4, 10, Arnold discloses that the time period is selected from the group consisting of days, weeks, months, and years (See paragraphs 0071, 0076, tables 1-3 on page 5-8).

Regarding Claims 6, 12, Arnold discloses that the method is selected from the group consisting of automatic, manual, fixed interval (See abstract, paragraphs 0004, 0005, 0148 and 0071, 0076, tables 1-3 on page 5-8).

Regarding Claims 7, 14, Arnold discloses a system for filtering request over at least one network comprising a processor with a memory (inherent feature which is required in any processor) (See Figures 10, 11, paragraphs 0041, 0048, 0061, 0086-0088, 105). In addition to the details as discussed in the rejection of claim 1, Arnold meets the limitations of claim 7.

Regarding Claim 13, Arnold discloses an I/O device (computer: display and keyboard) in communication with the processor and memory (See Figure 11).

# Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/729,003

Art Unit: 2163

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold et al. (US 2004/0177271).

As discussed above, Arnold essentially discloses the claimed invention but fails to explicitly disclose the time period is six months. However, Arnold does not restrict the time period. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to program any time period in order to attain a desired suitable time frame which involves routine programming skill in the art.

### **Response to Argument**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, 9-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/729,003 Page 5

Art Unit: 2163

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Wilson Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1824.

Papers related to the application may be submitted by facsimile transmission.

Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The official fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wilson Lee

Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office